

THE SPRINGS AT PACIFIC REGENT

Report ID: CCN 555739
Generated: 2026-07-26T23:07:05.262Z

§ 1 Facility Identity

Facility	THE SPRINGS AT PACIFIC REGENT
CCN	555739
Location	3884 NOBEL DRIVE, SAN DIEGO, CA, 92122
Ownership type	For profit - Limited Liability company
Certified beds	59

§ 2 CMS Federal Record

Civil money penalties on file	\$0
Deficiency citations — current cycle	4 (300% vs prior 24 months (1))
Deficiency citations — prior 24 months	1
Immediate Jeopardy — current cycle	0
Actual-harm (G+) citations — current cycle	0
Special Focus Facility status	Not listed
Abuse icon	No

§ 3 Federal Penalties in Context

This facility — penalties on file	\$0
CA state average	\$26,375 (this facility is 0.0× the CA average)
National average	\$31,239 (this facility is 0.0× the national average)

Averages are CMS-published per-facility fine amounts for the same snapshot. Figures and multiples are stated as published; no inference is drawn here.

§ 4 Current-Cycle Deficiencies

The current survey cycle records the following 4 federal deficiency citations (CMS F-tags). Scope/severity is CMS's own A–L grade; "Immediate Jeopardy" is CMS's most serious classification.

F-TAG	FINDING (CMS)	SCOPE/SEV.	SOURCE
F812	Procure food from sources approved or considered satisfactory and store, prepare, distribute and serve food in accordance with professional standards.	E	Standard survey
F655	Create and put into place a plan for meeting the resident's most immediate needs within 48 hours of being admitted	D	Standard survey
F755	Provide pharmaceutical services to meet the needs of each resident and employ or obtain the services of a licensed pharmacist.	D	Standard survey
F880	Provide and implement an infection prevention and control program.	D	Standard survey

§ 5 Ownership & Chain Context

Ownership record	Chain: THE ENSIGN GROUP (342 facilities); Owner on file: AFSHAR, POUYA (individual)
Ownership chain	THE ENSIGN GROUP (342 facilities)
Penalties vs chain average	\$0 vs \$20,923 (0.0× the chain average)
Current deficiencies vs chain average	4 vs 10.1 (0.4× the chain average)

§ 6 CMS Care Compare Star Ratings

Overall	5 / 5 (state avg 3.2 · US avg 3)
Health inspection	5 / 5 (state avg 2.8 · US avg 2.8)
Staffing	1 / 5 (state avg 3.1 · US avg 2.9)
Quality measures (QM)	5 / 5 (state avg 4.2 · US avg 3.7)

§ 7 Record Provenance

CMS data as of	Jun 2026
Independent verification	https://www.medicare.gov/care-compare/details/nursing-home/555739
Snapshot SHA-256	c1b8ca873fe92c9e8ff200431078fcfce08d679613c12eed4d7c911660a11968
Methodology & version	v1.0 — https://www.caregiverhelpnow.com/methodology

§ 8 For Your Attorney

1. The full survey file (Form 2567) for each inspection cited above is available from the state survey agency or by FOIA request.
 2. Quarterly staffing data: CMS Payroll-Based Journal (PBJ) public use files, CCN 555739.
 3. Cite this snapshot as “CMS Care Compare, as of Jun 2026” — integrity hash c1b8ca873fe9.
 4. Deficiency F-tags cited above are searchable in CMS QCOR (qcor.cms.gov).
-

This snapshot is a frozen record of CMS Care Compare data as of Jun 2026. Independently verifiable at the URL above. Generated by CareSentinel under Nodal Logics LLC. Compiled under CareSentinel methodology v1.0, documented at <https://www.caregiverhelpnow.com/methodology>; the Snapshot SHA-256 above pins this record to that methodology version.

CareSentinel operates as an independent legal-advertising service, not a law firm, and does not recommend, endorse, or vouch for any attorney (ABA Model Rule 5.4). This document restates the public federal record only; it is not legal advice and forms no attorney-client relationship. Inspection findings are point-in-time CMS survey results, not a determination that any specific resident was harmed.