

PruittHealth- Orangeburg

Report ID: CCN 425085
Generated: 2026-07-26T02:17:03.500Z

§ 1 Facility Identity

Facility	PruittHealth- Orangeburg
CCN	425085
Location	755 Whitman Street SE, Orangeburg, SC, 29115
Ownership type	For profit - Corporation
Certified beds	88

§ 2 CMS Federal Record

Civil money penalties on file	\$3,728
Deficiency citations — current cycle	2 (up from 0 in the prior 24 months)
Deficiency citations — prior 24 months	0
Immediate Jeopardy — current cycle	0
Actual-harm (G+) citations — current cycle	0
Special Focus Facility status	Not listed
Abuse icon	No

§ 3 Federal Penalties in Context

This facility — penalties on file	\$3,728
SC state average	\$14,641 (this facility is 0.3× the SC average)
National average	\$31,239 (this facility is 0.1× the national average)

Averages are CMS-published per-facility fine amounts for the same snapshot. Figures and multiples are stated as published; no inference is drawn here.

§ 4 Current-Cycle Deficiencies

The current survey cycle records the following 2 federal deficiency citations (CMS F-tags). Scope/severity is CMS's own A–L grade; "Immediate Jeopardy" is CMS's most serious classification.

F-TAG	FINDING (CMS)	SCOPE/SEV.	SOURCE
F640	Encode each resident's assessment data and transmit these data to the State within 7 days of assessment.	D	Standard survey
F700	Try different approaches before using a bed rail. If a bed rail is needed, the facility must (1) assess a resident for safety risk; (2) review these risks and benefits with the resident/representative; (3) get informed consent; and (4) Correctly install and maintain the bed rail.	D	Standard survey

§ 5 Ownership & Chain Context

Ownership record	Chain: PRUITTHEALTH (99 facilities); Owner on file: RUTLAND, DEBORAH (individual)
Ownership chain	PRUITTHEALTH (99 facilities)
Penalties vs chain average	\$3,728 vs \$15,492 (0.2× the chain average)
Current deficiencies vs chain average	2 vs 4.7 (0.4× the chain average)

§ 6 CMS Care Compare Star Ratings

Overall	3 / 5 (state avg 3.1 · US avg 3)
Health inspection	3 / 5 (state avg 3 · US avg 2.8)
Staffing	2 / 5 (state avg 3 · US avg 2.9)
Quality measures (QM)	4 / 5 (state avg 3.5 · US avg 3.7)

§ 7 Record Provenance

CMS data as of	Jun 2026
Independent verification	https://www.medicare.gov/care-compare/details/nursing-home/425085
Snapshot SHA-256	d2d7c33e3c7c414f39af88e02a308ec1226c5b8582e901700259979533d026ee
Methodology & version	v1.0 — https://www.caregiverhelpnow.com/methodology

§ 8 For Your Attorney

1. The full survey file (Form 2567) for each inspection cited above is available from the state survey agency or by FOIA request.
2. Quarterly staffing data: CMS Payroll-Based Journal (PBJ) public use files, CCN 425085.
3. Cite this snapshot as “CMS Care Compare, as of Jun 2026” — integrity hash d2d7c33e3c7c.
4. Deficiency F-tags cited above are searchable in CMS QCOR (qcor.cms.gov).

This snapshot is a frozen record of CMS Care Compare data as of Jun 2026. Independently verifiable at the URL above. Generated by CareSentinel under Nodal Logics LLC. Compiled under CareSentinel methodology v1.0, documented at <https://www.caregiverhelpnow.com/methodology>; the Snapshot SHA-256 above pins this record to that methodology version.

CareSentinel operates as an independent legal-advertising service, not a law firm, and does not recommend, endorse, or vouch for any attorney (ABA Model Rule 5.4). This document restates the public federal record only; it is not legal advice and forms no attorney-client relationship. Inspection findings are point-in-time CMS survey results, not a determination that any specific resident was harmed.